Ross Prig Carvo

Name of Reviewer

Spring 2012_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 4	5	20	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>L</u>	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	<u> </u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 4	1	<u>4</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) <u> </u>	2	<u>-6</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL F	POINTS:	<u>58</u>	
Add Preference	ce Points		
0-10		7	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10			Outlying Areas
0-10		5	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		5_	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penal	ty Points		
Ś	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POIN	TS	_85	_
Reviewer Co	onflict of Inte	rest:	
Comments: _		<u> </u>	
			,
Do you recon	nmend this pro	eject for funding:	■ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$

Poss	Rag	land
Name of App	licant .	

Name of Reviewer

			Spring 2012_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring) How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits? What actulities during with the control of the con
			(Tourism Review Panel scoring)
Score	Weight	Points	witte
(1-5)	5	10	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	15	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _5_	2	<u>10 </u>	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 3	1	3	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5) 3	2	6	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL P	OINTS:	44	
Add Preferenc	e Points		
0-10		10	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		_3_	Outlying Areas
0-10		3 2 5	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		_5_	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	y Points		
	-10	<u>20</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINT	'S	6 <u>84</u>	_
Reviewer Co	nflict of Inte	rest:	
Comments:			

Do you recommend this project for funding: ★ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$ 61

Rad	land	
Name of Applican	ì	

MARQUEZ

Jame of Reviewer

Spring 2012_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5		How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5		Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	and a second control of the second control o	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1		Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5)	2		Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL	POINTS:		
Add Prefere	nce Points		
0-1	0		Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-1	0		Outlying Areas
0-1	0		Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-1	0		Family Friendliness
Deduct Pena	alty Points		
	-10	·	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POIN	NTS	-	
Reviewer C	Conflict of Inter	est: $\sqrt{\epsilon}$	25)
Comments:			
		<u>.</u>	
Do you reco	mmend this proj	ect for funding	g: 🛘 YES 🖟 NO Partial funding: \$

RRT	
Name of Applicant	



Spring 2012 Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5		How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5		Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2		Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1		Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5)	2		Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL F	POINTS:		
Add Preference	ce Points		
0-10			Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10			Outlying Areas
0-10			Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10			Family Friendliness
Deduct Penal	ty Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POIN	TS		-
Reviewer Co	onflict of Inter	est: I Am	N A MEMBER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS
Comments:		·····	
Do you recom	nmend this proj	ect for funding:	☐ YES ☐ NO Partial funding: \$

Name of Applicant Poss Poston D. The otto

Name of Reviewer

R Sullwin

Spring 2012 Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			, and the state of
Score	Weight	<u>Points</u>	
(1-5)	5	<u> 25</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>Ú</u>	5	<u>25</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>5</u>	2	10	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>ડ</u>	1	5	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5)	2	10	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL F	POINTS:	<u> 25</u>	
Add Preference	ce Points		
0-10		10	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		10	Outlying Areas
0-10		10	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		10	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalt	y <u>Points</u> -10	<u>-0</u>	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINT	rs	<u>115</u>	_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments:

\$ 8,550 00 Call 200 Deal Olithers?

Do you recommend this project for funding: ↓ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$

+ E.Y) par.

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 28th of September, 2011

2 2 achod	
NSS REGILENCE	
Name of Applicant	

Name of Reviewer

Spring 2012_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight_	Points	
₍₁₋₅₎ <u>3</u>	5	15	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u> </u>	5	15	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is the budget and plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed?
(1-5)	2	4_	Is management and/or administration capable? Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 3	1	<u>3</u>	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Points will be deducted for vague or rambling responses.
(1-5)	2	4	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact?
SUB-TOTAL P	POINTS:	4	Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
Add Preferenc	e Points	. ^	
0-10		<u>(0</u>	Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		<u> Ž</u>	Outlying Areas
0-10		<u> </u>	Length of Stay – encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		1	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalt	y Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
TOTAL POINT	rs	58	_
Reviewer Conflict of Interest:			

comments. White to the markings. I will be tracked by after Exercited from standard markings. I will be tracked by after Exercited how will like to the tracked by after Exercited and the tracked by a tr

Partial funding: \$

Do you recommend this project for funding: XYES I NO

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 28th of September, 2011