Ernst	Family	Foundation	
Name of App	licant 🗸		

Matt Dodson

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5	20	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5	25	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 4	2	8	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _ 5	1	_5	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) 3	2	6	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL PO	OINTS:	64	
Add Preference	e Points		•
0-10		<u> </u>	Event held during the Shoulder Season - before June and after September
0-10		0-10-ML	? Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		74	Length of Stay event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		10-5	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	Points		
-	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINT	s	9+73	
Reviewer Co	nflict of l	nterest:	
Comments: 1	Have brough	the ability traffic.	to execute. Does create stays, even with
Do you recome	nend this	project for funding: ∫	x YES □ NO Partial funding: \$ 3,825

EXELDIST FOUNDATION / GICCORST CAPL
Name of Applicant

CHIP MASSIK
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 3	5	15	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _4	5 .	20	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5)	2	10	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>v</u>	1	2	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5)	2	4	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL F	POINTS:	61	
Add Preference	<u>ce Points</u>		
0-10		_0_	Event held during the Shoulder Season - before June and after September
0-10		_10_	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		_0_	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		_10_	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penal	ty Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POIN	тѕ	18	_
Reviewer Co	onflict of Inte	rest:	
Comments: _		we-	
			YES IN NO Partial funding: \$ #3825.00 MAZXAYNL COSTS
Do you recor	nmend this pro	oject for funding: /	MAZKAZYWE COSYS

Ernot	Family	بر اسا .
Name of Applicant	a.scart	Gilchis

MARQUEZ

Name of Reviewer

Fall-2013_Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			,	
Score	Weight	Points		
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	15	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?	
(1-5) 3	2	6	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?	
(1-5) 3	1	_3_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?	ر 2
(1-5)	2	2	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive? Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective? Car Short Car Short The case further than the control of the control of the control of the car short Are the outcomes measurable and objective?	ans
SUB-TOTAL PO	OINTS:	41		
Add Preference	e Points			
0-10			Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September	
0-10		10	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary	
0-10		10	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure	
0-10		_5_	Family Friendliness	
Deduct Penalty	<u>Points</u>	25		. N N
-	·10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time	
**	5		Vague or rambling responses.	
TOTAL POINTS	S	66	- 3825 + print ja shivis	chelt
Reviewer Cor	of Inter	est:		
Comments: Shows &	Car she pus pu 117 for ng tool	t .	and be good drow + poker ren. An sorthy of support. Marketing budget and justify this as effectively. Partial funding. Work w/ DK.	Le
Do you recomn	nend this proj	ect for funding:	YES NO Partial funding: \$ 3825	

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the XX4th of August<u>September</u>, 20134





Ernst Farecity Brendetim;

Car Show

Name of Applicant

Aude The Monthey.

(Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) <u>3</u>	5	<u>15</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) <u>4</u>	2	8_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) <u>+</u>	1	4	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5)	2	<u>-8</u> 50	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL P	OINTS:		
Add Preferenc	e Points		
0-10			Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		10	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		•	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		<u> 8</u>	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	y Points		
	-10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINT	rs	68	_
Reviewer Co	nflict of Inte	rest:	
Comments:			
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	····

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 4th of , 2013

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☐ YES ☐ NO Partial funding: \$ 3500

GILCHVIST CYUSE
Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer Salls Walf

Tourism Grant Application Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5)	5	<u>as</u>	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5)	5	<u>20</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed?
(1-5) <u></u>	2	8	Is management and/or administration capable? Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5)	1	4	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) 3	2	<u>4</u> 63	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL PO	OINTS:		
Add Preference	e Points		
0-10		0	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		10	Event heid outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		5	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		3	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	<u> Points</u>	18	
-	-10	Annual Control of the	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-	-5		Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINT	S	91	
Reviewer Co	nflict of Inte	rest:	
Comments:			
Do you recom	mend this pro	ject for funding:	♥ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$ 3,500

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 4th of September, 2014

ERNST-Car CRUISE	Kur die
Name of Applicant	0-

Rame of Reviewer Way

Fall-2013 Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

			,
Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 5	5	25	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 4	5	20	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed?
(1-5) 4	2	8	Is management and/or administration capable? Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 3	1	3	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) <u>B</u>	2	<u>(o</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
SUB-TOTAL P	OINTS:	(p2)_	
Add Preference	e Points	•	
0-10			Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10		1	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10		<u>5</u>	Length of Stay event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10		_5_	Family Friendliness
Deduct Penalty	Points		
~^	10		Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-{	5	•	Vague or rambling responses.
TOTAL POINTS	;	19	
Reviewer Con	flict of Intere	šť:	
Comments: U	AIS EDY DOSNIT GRUND	have n	as a success previously. Josh rany events - where the
Do you recommo	end this projec	t for funding:	YES I NO Partial funding: \$ // OOO
Form#K			Application of the second of t

Revised the XX4th of August<u>September</u>, 20134