Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application – Sponsorship Program, Infrastructure
Selection Criteria Summary

(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

(1-10) <u>6</u> (1-5) <u>2</u> (1-10) 50	Weight 10 5 10	Points  9 10 450	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-10)		M O	Is there evidence of in-kind support?  Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
Comments:			
Do you recomme	end this pr	oject for fu	nding:   YES   NO Partial funding: \$
			Target manufact.  Target manufact.  Will it assistances always al

Name of Applicant



## Tourism Grant Application –Sponsorship Program Infrastructure Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) 2	10	_20_	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-5) 5	5	25	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-10) 5	10	50_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-10) 2	10	20	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS			
Reviewer Conflict	of Interes	st:	
Comments:			
Do you recommen	d this pr	oject for fu	nding:   YES   NO Partial funding: \$

KFD	A :	Dounilonsn	magne			
Name of Applicant						

Que V. Van Berenherm.
Name of Reviewer

## Tourism Grant Application –Sponsorship Program Infrastructure Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10)	10	40	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-5) 8	5	40	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-10)	10	70	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-10) <u>//</u>	10	40	is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
_TOTAL POINTS		190	
Reviewer Conflict	of Interes	ıt:	
Comments:			
		<del>-</del>	
Do you recomme	and this p	roject for f	unding: □ YES □ NO Partial funding: \$

Form # KCF 3008 Revised the 4<sup>th</sup> of September, 2014 Mu P

Leora C. Roa C/S
Name of Reviewer

## Tourism Grant Application –Sponsorship Program Infrastructure Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-10) <u>O</u>	10	_6_	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?
(1-5)	5	_5	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-10) _ Z	10	20	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?
(1-10)	10	20	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?
TOTAL POINTS		45	
Reviewer Conflict	of Interes	st:	
Comments:			·
Do vou recommer	nd this pr	olect for fu	nding: ☐ YES ☐ NO Partial funding: \$

Name of Applicant

MARQUE 2

Name of Reviewer

## Tourism Grant Application –Sponsorship Program Infrastructure Selection Criteria Summary (Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points		
(1-10)	10	40	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how this project will increase out of county visitors?	
(1-5)	5	_85	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable?	
(1-10)	10	50_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support?	
(1-10)	10	40	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives?	
_TOTAL POINTS		130		
Reviewer Conflict	of Interes	st:		
Comments: Defer to LO on line. Provense visitors?				
Do you recommer	nd this pr	oject for fu	nding: □ YES 🏞 NO Partial funding: \$	