


Entity Name		Viowies Co.			
		Ceramics Additive Manufacturing Expansion			
Project					
Relative Impact		780			
Consistent with Local or Regional Plans		490			
Ability to Complete		235			
Leverage Funding		400			
New Services		705			
Development Economic Partners		310			
Community Support		370			
Evaluation Method		360			
Preference Points:					
Outlying Area		0			
Average Score from Committee	0	521.4285714	0	0	0
Total Possible Score from Committee	1000	1000	1000	10000	1000
Funding Requested:		\$ 30,000.00			
Funding Recommended:		\$ 15,000.00			
Total Amount Requested	\$ 30,000.00				
Total Amount Recommended:	\$ 15,000.00				
Percentage Funded of Requested	50%				
Total Amount Allocated:	\$ 50,000.00				
Total Amount Recommended:	\$ 15,000.00				
Amount Remaining:	\$ 35,000.00				

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE FOREGOING DISTRIBUTION HEREBY IS APPROVED THIS   20   DAY OF   October   2020.

  
 CHAIR  
 APPROVED  
 DISAPPROVED

  
 VICE-CHAIR  
 APPROVED  
 DISAPPROVED

  
 COMMISSIONER  
 APPROVED  
 DISAPPROVED

V10WISS CO  
Name of Applicant

Tyrese Wheeler  
Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	<u>4</u> X 20 =	<u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested? (max points possible: 200)
(0-10)	<u>4</u> X 10 =	<u>40</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>3</u> X 5 =	<u>15</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-10)	<u>4</u> X 10 =	<u>40</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which this grant funding will bring other public or private funds to the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>4</u> X 15 =	<u>60</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County that are not currently well served while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding? (max points possible: 150)
(1-10)	<u>3</u> X 10 =	<u>30</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>4</u> X 10 =	<u>40</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>3</u> X 10 =	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 335  
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

**TOTAL POINTS** 335 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO  
Full Funding  YES  NO OR Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Uoiwies

John Conrill

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Table with 4 columns: Score, Weight, Points, and Question. Contains 8 rows of scoring criteria with handwritten values.

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 725
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS 725 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: This project has lots of potential and appears to have a strong local market in the offing

Do you recommend this project for funding: [X] YES [ ] NO

Full Funding [X] YES [ ] NO OR Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Applicant Vivianess CO

Name of Reviewer Betty Aug

Economic Development Grant Application  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	X 20 = <u>200</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested? (max points possible: 200)
(0-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 5 = <u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which this grant funding will bring other public or private funds to the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 15 = <u>150</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County that are not currently well served while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding? (max points possible: 150)
(1-10)	<u>8</u>	X 10 = <u>80</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>10</u>	X 10 = <u>100</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>7</u>	X 10 = <u>70</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 850  
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) \_\_\_\_\_ Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

**TOTAL POINTS** \_\_\_\_\_ (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: Reduce 9600 to interns

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO

Full Funding  YES  NO OR Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

Viewless Co.  
Name of Applicant

Heather Tramp  
Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	9	X 20 = 180	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested? (max points possible: 200)
(0-10)	9	X 10 = 90	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	9	X 5 = 45	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-10)	9	X 10 = 90	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which this grant funding will bring other public or private funds to the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	9	X 15 = 135	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County that are not currently well served while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding? (max points possible: 150)
(1-10)	7	X 10 = 70	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	7	X 10 = 70	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	7	X 10 = 70	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 750  
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 9 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS 750 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: \_\_\_\_\_

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO

Full Funding  YES  NO

OR

Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_

VIOWESS  
Name of Applicant

JOEUE HARPER  
Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application  
**Selection Criteria Summary**  
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10) <u>5</u>	X 20 =	<u>100</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested? (max points possible: 200)
(0-10) <u>8</u>	X 10 =	<u>80</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>5</u>	X 5 =	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-10) <u>5</u>	X 10 =	<u>50</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which this grant funding will bring other public or private funds to the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>7</u>	X 15 =	<u>105</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County that are not currently well served while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding? (max points possible: 150)
(1-10) <u>3</u>	X 10 =	<u>30</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>4</u>	X 10 =	<u>40</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10) <u>3</u>	X 10 =	<u>30</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)
SUB-TOTAL POINTS:		<u>485</u>	
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)			

Add Preference Points

(0-100) \_\_\_\_\_ Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

**TOTAL POINTS** \_\_\_\_\_ (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: None

Comments: this type of industry/startup is promising and should be supported. Application was very vague on product and outcome of project. difficult to understand - poor application

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO

Full Funding  YES  NO

OR

Partial funding: \$ 10K - 15K

Viewless

Lacey Jamell

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application  
Selection Criteria Summary  
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(0-10)	<u>3</u>	X 20 = <u>60</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested? (max points possible: 200)
(0-10)	<u>8</u>	X 10 = <u>80</u>	Is the project in support of or consistent with local or regional economic development plans? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>7</u>	X 5 = <u>35</u>	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Is management and/or administration capable? (max points possible: 50)
(1-10)	<u>5</u>	X 10 = <u>50</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate the extent to which this grant funding will bring other public or private funds to the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>8</u>	X 15 = <u>120</u>	Does the project deliver new, expanded or enhanced services, including bringing services to geographic areas of Klamath County that are not currently well served while avoiding duplication or displacement of existing projects, programs or funding? (max points possible: 150)
(1-10)	<u>4</u>	X 10 = <u>40</u>	Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>5</u>	X 10 = <u>50</u>	Is there demonstrated community and in-kind support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? (max points possible: 100)
(1-10)	<u>7</u>	X 10 = <u>70</u>	Is there a strong evaluation method with measurable objectives? (max points possible: 100)

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 505  
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS 505 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: N/A

Comments:

Do you recommend this project for funding:  YES  NO  
Full Funding  YES  NO OR Partial funding: \$ 15,000

Name of Applicant

Name of Reviewer

Economic Development Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Economic Development Advisory Committee scoring)

Table with 4 columns: Score, Weight, Points, and Description. Rows include criteria such as 'Does the applicant clearly demonstrate this project's economic development impact relative to the funds requested?' and 'Does the applicant clearly demonstrate how they will work with economic development partners to successfully complete the project?'.

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 0
(Sub-Total max points possible: 900)

Add Preference Points

(0-100) 0 Project supporting economic development outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary

TOTAL POINTS 0 (Max total points possible: 1000)

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: www.viowiess.com doesn't work. The business was registered with the OR SOS this year. Their application isn't clear and eligibility for this grant is questionable. Funding for this applicant is high risk. Funding not suggested.

Do you recommend this project for funding: [ ] YES [x] NO

Full Funding [ ] YES [x] NO OR Partial funding: \$ \_\_\_\_\_