Town of Bonanza
Name of Applicant

Matt Dodson
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 3	5	15	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 5	5	25	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 3	2	6	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 5	1	5	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) 3	2	6	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: 57

Add Preference Points

0-10	0	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	10	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	5	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	5	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS 77

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: Keep growing and work on tourists

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☑ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $ 2500

Town of Bonanza
Name of Applicant

Lisa Galloway
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 3	5	15	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 4	5	20	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 5	2	10	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 4	1	4	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) 4	2	8	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?
		57	

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _____

Add Preference Points

0-10	0	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	10	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	5	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	10	Family Friendliness
	25	

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS   82

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____
_____
_____
_____

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☑ YES ☐ NO   **Partial funding:** $ 2,500 _____

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the 4th of September, 2014

Bonanza !
Chili Cook-off
Name of Applicant

Julie VanBroctien
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points
(1-5) _3_	5	_15_	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _3_	5	_15_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _3_	2	_6_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _4_	1	_4_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) _2_	2	_4_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _44_

Add Preference Points   _7?)_

0-10	_—_	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_9_	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	_5_	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_9_	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

| -10 | _____ | Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time |
| -5 | _____ | Vague or rambling responses. |

TOTAL POINTS   _6 7_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

_____

_____

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☑ YES ☐ NO   **Partial funding:** $_2500_ _____

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the 4ᵗʰ of , 2013

Bonanza Chili Comp
Name of Applicant

Chip Massie
Name of Reviewer

Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) _3_	5	_15_	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) _5_	5	_25_	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) _5_	2	_10_	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) _4_	1	_4_	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) _3_	2	_6_	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: _60_

Add Preference Points

0-10	_0_	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	_10_	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	___	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	_10_	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	___	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	___	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS _80_

Reviewer Conflict of Interest: _____

Comments: _____

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☒ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $_2500.00_____

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the 4th of September, 2014

Bonanza   _MARQUEZ_

Name of Applicant   Name of Reviewer

~~Fall 2013~~ Tourism Grant Application
Selection Criteria Summary
(Tourism Review Panel scoring)

Score	Weight	Points	
(1-5) 3	5	15	How well does/will this project increase tourism? Will it encourage longer-term or repeat visits?
(1-5) 4	5	2.0	Does the applicant have the ability to complete the project? Are the budget and marketing plan realistic? What is the potential to succeed? Is management and/or administration capable?
(1-5) 5	2	10	Is there demonstrated community support? Is there evidence of in-kind support? Are there endorsements by community groups?
(1-5) 3	1	3	Is the presentation clear, concise and attractive?
(1-5) 3	2	6	Is there a strong evaluation method? How will the applicant document the impact? Are the outcomes measurable and objective?

SUB-TOTAL POINTS: **54**

Add Preference Points

0-10	_____	Event held during the Shoulder Season – before June and after September
0-10	10	Event held outside of the Klamath Falls urban growth boundary
0-10	_____	Length of Stay – event encourage early arrival and/or late departure
0-10	10	Family Friendliness

Deduct Penalty Points

-10	_____	Previous tourism projects not completed on time or final evaluation not submitted on time
-5	_____	Vague or rambling responses.

TOTAL POINTS   **74**

Reviewer Conflict of Interest:

Comments: _Excellent event. More a local event than major tourism draw._

Do you recommend this project for funding: ☒ YES ☐ NO   Partial funding: $ _2500_

Form # KCF 3008
Revised the ~~XX~~4th of ~~August~~September, 201~~3~~4